UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,012	09/18/2006	Guoshun Deng	20937-65052	3141
	7590 06/01/200 INING MARTIN LLP	EXAMINER		
3343 PEACHT	REE ROAD, NE	SHARMA, SUJATHA R		
1600 ATLANTA FINANCIAL CENTER ATLANTA, GA 30326		₹	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/599,012	DENG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SUJATHA SHARMA	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Sec 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accention and policion to the original description.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the B					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/18/06,5/20/08,4/23/09.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/599,012 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riggs [US 2003/0007649] in view of Rast [US 2003/0076968].

Regarding claim 1, Riggs discloses a remote control interface that is configured to manage, control and operate a plurality of audio and/or video components. Riggs further discloses a system wherein

- said controller (see Fig. 3, element 142) is a radio frequency (RF) controller, and the first transmitter is a RF transmitter (See Fig. 3, element 154); also see paragraphs 45,95
- said first receiver of said data source is a RF receiver, wherein said controller sends a RF control signal to the data source, said first RF receiver in the data source receives the RF control signal and sends the signal playing device. See paragraph 45 where the after market stereo receiver receives the signal and sends it to the speaker for outputting the signal through the speakers.

However he does not specifically disclose a method where the first RF receiver in the data source receives the RF control signal and sends the signal to the <u>first micro-controller</u> for processing, and said second transmitter transmits data signals and/or the control signal to said playing device under the control of said first micro-controller.

and control of the audio device remotely.

Art Unit: 2618

Rast, in the same field of endeavor, teaches a method where the first RF receiver in the data source receives the RF control signal and sends the signal to the <u>first micro-controller</u> for processing, and said second transmitter transmits data signals and/or the control signal to said playing device under the control of said first micro-controller. See Fig. 1 and paragraph 75

Therefore it would have been to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the micro-controller of Rast's invention in Riggs device for the proper operation

Regarding claim 2, Riggs discloses a system wherein said playing device further comprises at least a RF receiver(see 104 in fig. 3) adaptive to receive the RF control signal transmitted from the first RF transmitter of the controller 9see 142 in Fig. 3). See also paragraphs 45, 95

Regarding claim 3, Riggs discloses a system wherein the signal transmissions between said data source and the playing device are carried out in a RF manner. See paragraph 95.

Regarding claim 4, Rast discloses a system wherein the second transmitter(18 in fig. 1) of said data source is a RF transmitter. See paragraphs 73,74,83

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 5, Riggs discloses a system wherein said data source is combined with said playing device, and the signals from the transmitter of the data source are cable signals. See paragraph 37

Regarding claim 6, Rast discloses a system wherein said data source uses a potable storage medium to store the data signals. See paragraph 77.

Regarding claim 7, Rast discloses a system wherein said controller further comprises a key panel, a signal generator, and an encoder, and wherein said key panel receives external control instructions, said signal generator generates control signals corresponding to the external control instructions, and said encoder encodes and sends the control signals to the first transmitter. See paragraphs 66,67,69.

Regarding claim 8, Riggs discloses a system wherein said controller is provided on the steer wheel. See paragraph 35.

Regarding claims 9,10 Riggs discloses an audio/video system comprising a controller (142 in fig. 3), a data source and a playing device (106 in fig 3 and paragraph 5), wherein the controller

Art Unit: 2618

controls the data source and/or playing device through a radio frequency (RF) transmission (see paragraph 45,95)

However, he does not disclose a method wherein the said data source transmits data signals and/or control signals to the playing device through a RF transmission.

Rast, in the same field of endeavor, teaches a method using a RF transceiver in the sound system which receives control signals from the Steering wheel and transmits the information to the playing device. SEE Fig. 1 and paragraphs 73,74,83.

Therefore it would have been to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Rast to Riggs for the proper operation and control of the audio device remotely.

Regarding claims 11-13, Rast discloses a system wherein said data source uses a potable storage medium to store the data signals. See paragraph 77.

Regarding claims 14-16, Rast discloses a system wherein said controller further comprises a key panel, a signal generator, and an encoder, and wherein said key panel receives external control instructions, said signal generator generates control signals corresponding to the external control instructions, and said encoder encodes and sends the control signals to the first transmitter. See paragraphs 66,67,69

Application/Control Number: 10/599,012 Page 6

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claims 17-19, Riggs discloses a system wherein said controller is provided on the steer wheel. See paragraph 35.

Conclusion

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Yoshitake [US 6,626,062] Steering wheel

Kobayashi [us 6,225,578] Switch device

Usami [JP 2005001624] Steering switch

Mella [US 7,031,477] Voice controlled system for providing digital audio content

in an automobile

Hughes [us 2005/0089177] Method, apparatus and program for intelligent volume

control

Marlowe [US 2005/0239434] Multimedia device integration system

Simon [US 2005/0281414] Method and apparatus for control of personal digital media

device using a vehicle audio system

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SUJATHA SHARMA whose telephone number is (571)272-7886. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7.30am - 4.00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,012 Page 7

Art Unit: 2618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew D. Anderson can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sujatha Sharma/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2618 Sujatha Sharma May 21, 2009